
Cognitive and Emotional Dynamics in Identity Representation in Digital Discourse: A Psycholinguistic Analysis of Millennial Narratives on Social Media

*Saiyidinal Firdaus*¹

¹ Corresponding author, Applied Linguistics, Jakarta State University, Indonesia;
saiyidinalfirdaus1995@gmail.com

Received: 12 December 2024

Accepted: 19 July 2025

Published: 26 July 2025

Abstract

This study consistently employs a qualitative narrative-psycholinguistic design to analyze the cognitive and emotional dynamics in the construction of millennial identity within digital narratives on X, Instagram, and TikTok. The analysis integrates thematic analysis, operationalized psycholinguistic coding based on Plutchik's theory of emotions and Gee's framework of identity representation, as well as a critical interpretive approach by Fairclough to situate the findings within the digital socio-cultural context. Data were collected through purposive sampling of at least 10 public narratives from each platform over a two-week period, using explicit criteria based on emotional intensity (marked by words, emojis, punctuation), and explicit/implicit identity representation. The findings indicate that X is dominated by collective reflection and resistance to stigma; Instagram foregrounds self-validation and authenticity; while TikTok displays humor-resilience as a coping strategy against socio-economic pressures. The analysis also reveals the prevalence of cognitive reflection patterns, emotional expression, and cross-platform identity synthesis, which aligns with recent studies on digital literacy and the psychological well-being of the millennial generation. The study's contribution is to clarify the interrelationship between language, cognition, and emotion in shaping the digital identity of Indonesian millennials, as well as to underscore the importance of operational clarity and cross-platform synthesis in digital psycholinguistic research. The study recommends expanding research into cross-cultural contexts and over a longer duration to capture the dynamics of digital identity more comprehensively.

Keywords: Cognitive narrative, digital identity, emotion, millennials, narrative analysis, psycholinguistics, social media



To cite this article: Firdaus, S. (2025). Cognitive and emotional dynamics in identity representation in digital discourse: A psycholinguistic analysis of millennial narratives on social media. *SALÉE: Study of Applied Linguistics and English Education*, 6(2), 396-413. <https://doi.org/10.35961/salee.v6i2.1780>

DOI: 10.35961/salee.v6i2.1780

1. Introduction

The digital era has revolutionized the dynamics of communication, making social media the primary space for self-expression and identity construction, especially among millennials. Interactions on platforms such as X, Instagram, and TikTok are not merely acts of sharing information, but also serve as arenas for the negotiation of values, emotions, and complex self-representations. This phenomenon demands a deeper understanding of how digital language is utilized to articulate the cognitive and emotional processes underlying the formation of generational identity.

A narrative psycholinguistic approach provides a relevant analytical framework in this context, as it enables the exploration of the dynamic relationship between language, cognition, and emotion (Gee, 2015; Liu and Fan, 2021). In psycholinguistic studies, language is regarded not only as a tool for communication but also as a reflection of individuals' mental and emotional processes (Plutchik, 2001; Evans and Green, 2006). Through digital narratives, individuals—particularly millennials—are able to express self-reflection, social adaptation strategies, as well as responses to the pressures and changes of the times (Stapleton et al., 2017).

Numerous studies have highlighted the intricate relationship between language, emotion, and cognition in digital environments. For instance, Supriansyah (2019); Analya et al. (2021); Prihatiningtyas (2022) analyzed the intersection of traditional and secular ideologies in digital narratives, revealing their influence on identity construction. Similarly, Yulianto et al. (2020); Mansor (2023); Rahmatika et al. (2022) emphasized the role of cognitive regulation in shaping social behaviors on social media. Wahyudi et al. (2021); Sendana (2024); Winarty (2024) found that emotional and cognitive interactions significantly impact impulsive behaviors in digital consumers, reinforcing the necessity of understanding these dynamics. Afidah (2022); Sunawan et al. (2017); Novitaria and Rusdi (2021) demonstrated how gadgets and digital platforms influence cognitive and emotional development, affecting identity narratives. Other works, like those by Nasrullah (2018); Revilia and Irwansyah (2020); Assidiq (2023), explore millennials' unique engagement with social media, highlighting their nuanced approaches to identity construction.

While previous studies provide valuable insights, significant gaps remain. Most notably, there is limited exploration of the interplay between cognitive and emotional processes in digital narratives from a psycholinguistic perspective. Furthermore, the literature often

overlooks the complexities of identity negotiation in multicultural and rapidly changing digital contexts. There is also a lack of cross-disciplinary approaches that integrate psycholinguistics with digital discourse analysis to comprehensively understand these dynamics. This study seeks to address these gaps by offering an interdisciplinary analysis of millennial identity narratives on social media. By focusing on the interaction of language, cognition, and emotion, it aims to illuminate the multifaceted nature of identity construction in digital spaces. The findings are expected to enrich psycholinguistic and sociolinguistic discourse, contributing to both theoretical and practical advancements in the field.

Nevertheless, there is a gap in the literature: there remains a lack of studies that operationally integrate psycholinguistic analysis, narrative, and emotional dynamics in a systematic manner within digital narratives of the millennial generation, particularly in the Indonesian context. In addition, most previous studies have yet to examine in detail how linguistic structures (lexical choices, rhetorical strategies, and digital features such as emojis) are used to negotiate identity and address psychosocial challenges across platforms.

This study sets out to conduct a comprehensive exploration of the cognitive and emotional undercurrents embedded in digital narratives produced by millennials on platforms such as X (formerly Twitter), Instagram, and TikTok. By operationally analyzing these narratives, the research seeks to unravel how users cognitively structure their messages and how emotional expressions manifest across different formats and contexts unique to each platform. The goal is to gain insights into the thought processes and affective states that shape online communication among this generational cohort.

In addition, the study aims to identify and compare key linguistic patterns, the intensity and nuances of emotional expression, and the ways in which identity is performed and constructed across these digital spaces. This comparative approach will highlight the platform-specific dynamics that influence how language is used and how users present themselves, offering a clearer picture of how digital environments shape communicative behaviour.

Finally, the findings of this research will be situated within the broader framework of psycholinguistics and contemporary digital discourse. By integrating theoretical perspectives with empirical observations, the study will contribute to a deeper understanding of how language, cognition, emotion, and identity intersect in the rapidly evolving landscape of social media communication.

This study is expected to enrich the body of literature on digital psycholinguistics, as well as provide practical recommendations for the development of digital literacy and the psychological well-being of the millennial generation in an increasingly complex social media landscape.

2. Method

2.1. Research design and approach

This study adopts a narrative-psycholinguistic qualitative design to understand how cognitive and emotional dynamics shape millennial identity representation in digital narratives on social media. This approach is chosen to enable the researcher to trace processes of reflection, emotional expression, and identity construction through authentic and contextual narrative analysis (Creswell and Poth, 2018; Gee, 2015).

2.2. Population, sample, and data collection techniques

The study focuses on millennials, defined as individuals born between 1981 and 1996, who are active users of three major social media platforms—X (formerly Twitter), Instagram, and TikTok—in Indonesia. These platforms are chosen for their high user engagement and the rich variety of user-generated content they host. A purposive sampling method is employed to ensure a diverse range of expressions and narratives, allowing for deeper insight into how users communicate emotions and construct identities in online spaces.

To strengthen the validity and potential generalizability of the findings, the sampling framework is designed to include a minimum of ten public narratives from each platform. This results in a total minimum dataset of thirty narratives. These narratives are sourced from content shared within a designated two-week period, a timeframe selected due to the fast-paced nature of social media discourse, as highlighted by Neumayer and Rossi (2018). Additionally, this approach aligns with qualitative research practices that recommend short, focused data collection windows to achieve narrative saturation, as discussed by Creswell and Poth (2018).

Narratives are selected based on several criteria aimed at capturing the richness and depth of user expression. These include the emotional intensity of the content—whether positive or negative, and whether emotions are conveyed explicitly or implicitly—as well as the representation of personal or collective identity. The form of the content is also considered, encompassing not only written text such as captions and comments but also non-verbal elements like emojis. These criteria ensure that the final sample provides a comprehensive view of how Indonesian millennials use social media to articulate and perform emotion and identity.

2.3. Data collection procedures

Data are gathered through documentation of posts, captions, comments, and public stories on each platform. Only publicly available data that do not contain personal identifiers are collected, in accordance with research ethics. All data are anonymized in line with digital research ethics principles.

2.4. Analytical framework

This study employs a multi-level analytical framework to explore emotional expression and identity construction within digital narratives, particularly focusing on social media captions and posts. Integrating psycholinguistic analysis, narrative and thematic interpretation, and critical discourse contextualization, the methodology is anchored in established theories and contemporary research to ensure both depth and rigor. The psycholinguistic dimension draws upon Plutchik's (2001) Emotion Categories Theory and Gee's (2015) Identity Representation Framework. Plutchik's model offers a taxonomy of eight core emotions—joy, sadness, anger, fear, trust, disgust, surprise, and anticipation—guiding the identification of affective markers such as intensifiers, punctuation, emojis, and figurative language. Meanwhile, Gee's framework enables the analysis of identity as discursively constructed, distinguishing between explicit identity statements and implicit representations embedded in narrative context and metaphor. These tools help reveal the emotional tone and identity positioning embedded in user-generated texts.

To analyze broader narrative structures and recurring motifs, the study applies Thematic Analysis (Braun and Clarke, 2006, 2021) and Critical Discourse Analysis (Fairclough, 2015). Thematic Analysis facilitates the identification of semantic and latent themes—such as self-validation, intergenerational tension, or humor as resilience—through a systematic six-phase process. Critical Discourse Analysis provides interpretive depth by examining how digital narratives reflect and reproduce societal ideologies and power dynamics. Fairclough's dialectical-relational approach, supported by recent CDA scholarship (Wodak and Meyer, 2016; Flowerdew and Richardson, 2018), enables insights into how online discourse serves as a site for resistance, solidarity, and cultural negotiation, particularly for marginalized voices.

The data analysis involves a rigorous, triangulated coding process. Two trained researchers conduct manual coding to ensure consistency, with inter-coder reliability maintained above 80% following the guidelines of Miles, Huberman, and Saldaña (2020). The coding proceeds in three stages: identifying linguistic features (e.g., lexical choices, emojis, punctuation), mapping emotions and identity positions using Plutchik's and Gee's models, and interpreting these features within broader socio-cultural frameworks. Reflexivity is built into the process through memos, audit trails, and iterative coding sessions (Nowell et al., 2017), ensuring methodological transparency and reliability.

Illustrative examples demonstrate the framework's application. For instance, a caption stating, "Sometimes I feel stuck between my passion and my family's expectations," conveys emotional tension through metaphor ("feel stuck") and suggests an implicit identity struggle shaped by intergenerational expectations. In contrast, a post like "Today I am enough. Proud of myself!" articulates joy and self-assurance through explicit affirmations and emphatic punctuation, clearly asserting identity. Such examples highlight how emotional tone, identity

performance, and linguistic features interact to create complex digital narratives. Overall, this integrative framework allows for a nuanced understanding of how individuals use language to navigate personal meaning, perform identity, and engage with collective discourses in the digital age.

2.5. Methodological justification

The choice of a narrative-psycholinguistic qualitative design and multi-analytical approach aims to capture the complexity of digital identity phenomena holistically (Creswell and Poth, 2018; Stapleton et al., 2017). The limited sample size is balanced by in-depth analysis at the micro-linguistic and narrative levels, resulting in findings that are both theoretically and practically relevant.

3. Findings and Discussion

3.1. Findings

Table 1 synthesizes the main data findings from the 30 millennial digital narratives (10 each from X, Instagram, and TikTok), coded for emotion (Plutchik’s theory), identity representation (Gee’s framework), linguistic markers, and thematic patterning.

Table 1. Millennial digital narratives

Platform	Dominant Theme	Emotional Spectrum	Identity Representation	Linguistic Features	Illustrative Examples
X (Twitter)	Collective reflection and resistance to stigma	Sadness, Anger, Anticipation, Pride	Implicit and Explicit (generational, collective)	Lexical intensifiers, rhetorical questions, collective pronouns, hashtags	“Tired of being labeled lazy. We fight every day, you just don’t see.” “Sometimes I feel stuck between my passion and my family’s expectations.”
Instagram	Self-validation and authenticity	Joy, Pride, Hope, Surprise	Explicit (self, aspirational)	Affirmative statements, positive adjectives, emojis, self-reference	“Today I am enough. Proud of myself! 🌟” “Manifesting better days ahead. #selfgrowth”
TikTok	Humor-resilience, coping, irony	Humor, Joy, Surprise, Anxiety, Sadness	Implicit (social role, community, aspirational)	Irony, hyperbole, visual metaphors,	“Just paid rent and now living on instant noodles.”

trending soundbites, emoji clusters	Adulting is fun!" "Anyone else coping by dancing alone in their room?"
-------------------------------------	---

Table 1 above presents an overview of digital narratives among millennials across three prominent social media platforms: X (Twitter), Instagram, and TikTok. The table outlines the dominant themes emerging on each platform, the emotional spectrum conveyed, forms of identity representation, distinctive linguistic features, and illustrative examples that highlight each platform's unique character. This classification reveals how social media functions as a space for millennials to articulate identity, emotion, and collective resistance in response to both social and personal challenges. The following discussion will further explore how these digital narratives contribute to the construction of millennial identities within the contemporary digital landscape.

3.2. Discussion

3.2.1. Cognitive and emotional dynamics across platforms

The cross-platform narrative data reveal clear distinctions in how Indonesian millennials employ digital language to negotiate identity and emotion. On X, the narratives gravitate toward collective reflection and a pronounced resistance to negative social stigma. The frequent use of collective pronouns ("we," "our generation") and rhetorical devices such as repetition and hashtags (e.g., #MillennialStruggles, #NotLazy) signal an implicit solidarity. These linguistic strategies echo the findings of Supriansyah (2019), who noted the formation of "digital communities of resistance" among young Indonesians. Emotional coding, according to Plutchik, identifies a spectrum from sadness and anger—reflecting disillusionment with societal perceptions—to anticipation and pride, which often surface in narratives about overcoming adversity. Instagram, in contrast, is dominated by self-affirming and aspirational posts. The explicit use of positive self-talk, direct statements of self-worth, and the abundant application of affirming emojis (e.g., 🌟💪🌸) typify a platform culture oriented toward self-validation and authenticity. Notably, these narratives employ linguistic intensifiers ("so proud," "absolutely thriving") and metaphorical imagery to emphasize emotional highs. This aligns with Yulianto et al. (2020), who identified Instagram as a digital space for curated authenticity and public self-affirmation among millennials. Here, the emotion spectrum is weighted toward joy, pride, and hope, with surprise emerging in posts about unexpected personal achievements.

TikTok presents a distinct narrative ecology, characterized by humor-resilience and irony as dominant coping mechanisms in response to everyday socio-economic challenges. Narratives are typically structured around short, ironic anecdotes, meme-based humor, and

multimodal expressions (audio-visual-emoji). Users adopt self-deprecating humor, parody, and hyperbolic metaphors to negotiate personal and societal anxieties. This is evident in posts such as “Just paid rent and now living on instant noodles. Adulting is fun!”—where humor masks deeper anxieties and feelings of precarity. The spectrum of emotions ranges from joy and surprise (through comedic relief), to anxiety and sadness, reflecting a complex oscillation between coping and vulnerability. This confirms the observations by Neumayer and Rossi (2018), and Zhou (2024), on the centrality of digital humor in collective millennial resilience.

3.2.2. *Patterns of identity representation*

Analysis using Gee’s (2000) identity framework reveals platform-specific identity construction strategies among millennial users. On Instagram, explicit identity declarations are especially prevalent, with users often engaging in direct self-affirmation through statements such as “*I am proud*” or “*I am enough*”. These linguistic patterns align with Instagram’s primary function as a space for personal branding and curated self-presentation (Abidin, 2016; Marwick, 2015). Aspirational identity markers like “*self-growth*”, “*healing era*”, or “*manifesting*” frequently appear in captions and hashtags, signaling a digitally performed narrative of transformation and emotional resilience (Duffy and Hund, 2019).

In contrast, X (formerly Twitter) tends to privilege collective and generational identity markers over direct self-reference. Millennials on X often situate their individual experiences within broader socio-political discourses, invoking an “us versus them” generational stance. Phrases like “*we were promised work-life balance, but got burnout instead*” reveal a narrative alignment with a collective millennial struggle against institutional and economic disenfranchisement (Ng et al., 2020). This reflects what Papacharissi (2015) terms “affective publics”—networks formed through shared emotional tones that coalesce around generational sentiments.

On TikTok, identity construction is notably more implicit and performative. Rather than stating identity overtly, users rely on shared humor, trending audio clips, and in-group cultural references to position themselves within a millennial framework. This indirect approach leverages platform affordances—such as short-form video, viral soundbites, and editing tools—to encode identity through multimodal storytelling (Zulli, 2022). The humor often masks deeper existential concerns, a dynamic reflected in popular self-deprecating tropes like “*adulting is a scam*”, signaling communal identity through subcultural in-jokes (Borges, 2021).

Across platforms, identity signaling is underpinned by specific linguistic and paralinguistic markers. Lexical choices such as “*coping*”, “*burnout*”, or “*resistance*” resonate with themes of struggle and emotional endurance. The use of emphatic punctuation (e.g., “!!!”) amplifies affect, while emoji clusters act as visual metaphors for internal states—e.g., 🤔💸 representing financial anxiety. These multimodal signifiers work in tandem to

create a shared emotional lexicon, particularly on TikTok, where metaphorical and hyperbolic language dominates, reinforcing the platform's preference for indirect yet highly expressive identity performances (Highfield and Leaver, 2016).

3.2.3. Cognitive reflection and narrative structure

Cognitive reflection—the process of introspective thought and emotional evaluation—is most prominently featured on X, where users employ syntactically complex structures, including subordinate clauses and rhetorical questioning, to explore generational tensions and personal dilemmas. Tweets like “*Sometimes I feel stuck between my passion and my family’s expectations*” capture both the cognitive dissonance and emotional weight of millennial life choices. This narrative style reflects what Bruner (1991) describes as the narrative mode of thought, where individuals construct meaning through layered, reflective storytelling. The tone often aligns with Plutchik’s emotion wheel, with a dominance of sadness, stress, and ambivalence, which can also be understood through Gee’s (2000) concept of identity as dialogue—where identity is always being negotiated through interaction with social norms.

On Instagram, narrative structures tend to be assertive and affirmational, employing simple sentence structures and imperative forms (e.g., “*Own your truth,*” “*Protect your peace*”). This language reflects a cognitive orientation toward self-validation and empowerment, often bypassing nuanced introspection in favor of optimistic self-positioning (Tiidenberg and Baym, 2017). The platform’s visual-first architecture encourages polished self-narratives with clear takeaways, aligning with broader trends in influencer culture, where consistency and positivity are strategic assets (Abidin, 2016).

In contrast, TikTok narratives are marked by brevity and multimodal layering. Although verbal language may be minimal—often limited to a punchline or emotionally charged phrase—it is supplemented by music, on-screen text, filters, facial expressions, and movement, which together construct a holistic narrative experience (Kaye et al., 2021).

This allows users to synthesize cognitive reflection with affective resonance quickly and efficiently. The platform’s preference for performativity over discursiveness reflects a shift in digital storytelling, where meaning is inferred through shared audiovisual codes rather than elaborate verbalization (Borges, 2021; Zulli, 2022).

3.2.4. Digital features and the negotiation of stigma and authenticity

Across platforms, digital features such as emojis, hashtags, and visual metaphors play a critical role in negotiating stigma and constructing authenticity. Hashtags on X not only organize content but also function as signals of in-group solidarity and resistance (“#NotLazy,” “#MillennialFight”). On Instagram, the curation of images and carefully selected captions reinforce authenticity as a performative act. On TikTok, visual and audio memes allow users to mask vulnerability with humor, a phenomenon Sendana (2024) notes

as central to the millennial digital experience. These digital affordances enable rapid emotion-sharing and identity signaling, underscoring the complexity of psycholinguistic processes in online millennial communication.

3.2.5. Cross-platform synthesis and socio-cultural implications

The comparative synthesis of narrative data illustrates that, although each platform fosters distinct discursive norms and technological constraints, Indonesian millennials engage in a dynamic and strategic cross-platform negotiation of identity. This process is underpinned by platform-specific affordances—short-form creativity and humor on TikTok (Zulli, 2022), visual self-curation on Instagram (Sheldon and Bryant, 2016), and dialogic critique on X (formerly Twitter) (Boyd, 2023). The multimodal interplay of humor (TikTok), social affirmation (Instagram), and critical reflection (X) evidences a sophisticated adaptability in digital identity performance. This cross-platform fluency supports psychological resilience and contributes to the construction of affective, supportive digital communities (Papacharissi, 2015; Yau and Reich, 2021).

Cognitive reflection and emotional expressiveness are not simply byproducts of user behavior but are actively shaped and filtered by the algorithmic logics, user interface design, and prevailing participatory cultures of each platform (Gillespie, 2018; Bucher, 2021). These findings reinforce emerging theories in digital psycholinguistics that emphasize the interdependence of linguistic form, affective expression, and technological mediation (Tagg, Seargeant, and Banaji, 2017). Moreover, the performative and iterative nature of digital identity construction suggests that millennial selfhood online is not a stable entity but a fluid, ongoing negotiation shaped by both micro-level interpersonal exchanges and macro-level sociotechnical systems (Baym, 2015; Lim, 2020).

This study underscores the imperative for operational clarity and multi-layered analysis in digital discourse research. By acknowledging how identity is performed differently across platforms, it contributes to a deeper understanding of millennial psychosocial adaptation in a hyperconnected media environment. These insights have tangible implications: they inform the development of platform-specific digital literacy curricula (Livingstone, 2019), guide the design of culturally resonant mental health interventions (Naslund et al., 2020), and highlight the importance of creating emotionally intelligent online environments that foster resilience and community for emerging adults (Moreno and Uhls, 2019).

3.2.6. Limitations and directions for future research

Despite presenting a robust cross-platform snapshot of Indonesian millennial narratives, this study is constrained by several limitations. The sample size, though purposively selected, remains modest, and the two-week data collection window may not capture longitudinal shifts or episodic events that significantly influence online discourse. Platform algorithmic

changes, evolving user behavior, and socio-political developments can all shift the psycholinguistic landscape in a short time span (Bruns, 2019; Noble, 2018).

Future research should aim for a broader demographic scope—including Gen Z and older generations—to enable comparative generational analysis. Moreover, extending the temporal scope and integrating cross-cultural perspectives, particularly from other Southeast Asian or Global South contexts, would enrich our understanding of the nuanced ways digital identity is linguistically and emotionally constructed. Longitudinal, multimodal ethnographies and computational psycholinguistic approaches may offer deeper insight into the interplay of language, cognition, and emotion across digital platforms (Wang and Jurgens, 2021; Georgakopoulou and Spilioti, 2020).

In sum, this research reveals that the intersection of language, emotion, and cognition in digital narratives forms a rich and adaptive tapestry of millennial identity. Each platform selectively amplifies different facets of this negotiation—whether introspection, validation, or social critique—underscoring the need for nuanced, culturally embedded methodologies in digital discourse analysis. A future-oriented research agenda must attend to the fluidity, multiplicity, and sociotechnical embeddedness of identity in contemporary digital life.

4. Conclusion

This study provides a nuanced, psycholinguistic exploration of the cognitive and emotional dynamics that underpin identity construction among Indonesian millennials across three major social media platforms: X, Instagram, and TikTok. Through a qualitative narrative approach integrating Plutchik's theory of emotions and Gee's framework for identity representation, the research uncovers both the diversity and intersectionality of digital self-representation within contemporary millennial discourse.

The findings affirm that digital platforms are not merely passive channels but active arenas where millennials continuously negotiate, perform, and reaffirm their identities in response to changing social, economic, and cultural pressures. On X, collective reflection and resistance to stigma emerge as dominant themes, highlighting the role of shared language, collective pronouns, and hashtags in building solidarity and community resilience. Instagram, in contrast, foregrounds self-validation and aspirational authenticity, emphasizing direct self-affirmation, positive self-talk, and the performative use of curated images and affirming emojis. Meanwhile, TikTok illustrates a distinctive humour-resilience dynamic, where users employ irony, self-deprecation, and multimodal creativity to cope with anxieties and socio-economic uncertainties.

Across platforms, the research reveals how Indonesian millennials skillfully utilize both linguistic and digital features—such as lexical choices, punctuation, emojis, and hashtags—to signal emotions, negotiate stigma, and construct authentic or aspirational personas. Notably, the synthesis of findings demonstrates a fluid and adaptive process of identity

negotiation: cognitive reflection and emotional expressiveness are not static but evolve in tandem with platform affordances and socio-cultural contexts. This adaptability is indicative of a broader resilience among the millennial generation, facilitating both individual well-being and the formation of supportive digital communities.

Importantly, the study underscores the necessity of operational clarity in psycholinguistic analysis and the value of cross-platform, narrative-driven inquiry for capturing the complexities of digital identity. The integration of qualitative thematic coding with critical-interpretative approaches enables a more holistic understanding of the psychosocial realities faced by millennials in a rapidly digitizing world.

Building upon these insights, several forward-looking recommendations emerge for both future research and practical application in the realm of digital identity and discourse among millennials.

Firstly, expanding the research scope is essential. Future studies should encompass broader demographic and temporal dimensions, incorporating diverse generational cohorts and extended data collection periods. Such an approach would not only allow for a richer understanding of digital identity but also highlight generational shifts in digital communication practices. Additionally, comparative, cross-cultural analyses are crucial in capturing the increasingly global and interconnected nature of digital self-expression. These broader lenses will illuminate both universal patterns and culturally specific nuances in how identity is shaped online.

Secondly, researchers should deepen multimodal analysis by engaging with a wider range of digital features—such as video, audio, and interactive elements. Millennials communicate and construct identity not just through text but also through dynamic visual and auditory cues. Analyzing how these modes intersect can provide more nuanced insights into meaning-making processes in digital environments. This expanded analytical scope will enhance our understanding of the layered, multimodal strategies millennials use to express and negotiate their identities.

Thirdly, the findings should be leveraged to enhance digital literacy initiatives. Educational programs can integrate insights from digital psycholinguistics to help young people develop a critical awareness of their online behaviors and interactions. Workshops could focus on themes such as digital self-care, the psychological impacts of social media engagement, and strategies for critically evaluating digital content. Such initiatives would empower youth to navigate digital spaces more mindfully and responsibly.

Fourthly, the observed interplay between emotion, cognition, and identity in digital narratives points to the need to inform mental health interventions. Mental health professionals should be equipped to incorporate digital discourse analysis into their therapeutic practices. Understanding the emotional and cognitive layers embedded in online

expression can lead to more context-sensitive and effective support for young adults, especially as they grapple with identity issues within the often-pressured space of social media.

Finally, there is a pressing need to foster inclusive online communities. Platform designers, policymakers, educators, and other stakeholders must work collaboratively to create digital environments that are welcoming, equitable, and attuned to the diverse experiences of millennials. This includes designing features and policies that reduce harm, support well-being, and enable authentic self-expression across different identities and backgrounds. By addressing these dimensions, we can cultivate healthier digital ecosystems for the generations that rely most heavily on them.

In conclusion, this research offers both theoretical and practical contributions to the fields of digital psycholinguistics and identity studies. By illuminating the dynamic, cross-platform processes through which Indonesian millennials express, negotiate, and redefine their identities, the study lays the groundwork for ongoing inquiry and informed intervention in the ever-evolving landscape of digital communication.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express profound gratitude to Prof. Zainal Rafli for his support, guidance, and the research grant assistance that made this study possible. Sincere thanks are also extended to all colleagues, the journal review team, and all participants who contributed to the data collection and analysis process. Without the help and input from various parties, this research would not have been successfully completed.

References

- Abidin, C. (2016). "Aren't these just young, rich women doing vain things online?": Influencer selfies as subversive frivolity. *Social Media + Society*, 2(2), 1–17.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305116641342>
- Afidah, S. N. (2022). Dampak penggunaan gadget pada perkembangan emosional dan kognitif siswa kelas IV sekolah dasar. *Jurnal Handayani*, 13(2), 104.
<https://doi.org/10.24114/jh.v13i2.36544>
- Analya, P., Yan, K., & Cakrangadinata, C. (2021). Pengaruh mindfulness terhadap performa tugas kognitif. *Insight Jurnal Ilmiah Psikologi*, 23(2).
<https://doi.org/10.26486/psikologi.v23i2.1502>

- Assidiq, W. F. R. (2023). Analisis peran media sosial dalam membentuk identitas nasional generasi milenial di Indonesia. *Jurnal Sosial Teknologi*, 3(9), 772–775. <https://doi.org/10.59188/jurnalsostech.v3i9.912>
- Baym, N. K. (2015). *Personal connections in the digital age* (2nd ed.). Polity Press.
- Borges, D. (2021). Humor, trauma, and relatability on TikTok: Millennials and Gen Z constructing shared cultural narratives. *Journal of Digital Culture Studies*, 3(1).
- Boyd, D. (2023). *Social media and the shifting boundaries of public and private discourse*. MIT Press.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). One size fits all? What counts as quality practice in (reflexive) thematic analysis? *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 18(3), 328–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2020.1769238>
- Bruner, J. (1991). The narrative construction of reality. *Critical Inquiry*, 18(1), 1–21.
- Bruns, A. (2019). *Are filter bubbles real?*. Polity Press.
- Bucher, T. (2021). The algorithmic dimension of platform power. *Internet Policy Review*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.14763/2021.2.1554>
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Duffy, B. E., & Hund, E. (2019). Gendered visibility on social media: Navigating Instagram's authenticity bind. *International Journal of Communication*, 13, 4983–5002.
- Evans, V., & Green, M. (2006). *Cognitive linguistics: An introduction*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Fairclough, N. (2015). *Language and power* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Flowerdew, J., & Richardson, J. E. (Eds.). (2018). *The Routledge handbook of critical discourse studies*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315739342>
- Gee, J. P. (2000). Identity as an analytic lens for research in education. *Review of Research in Education*, 25, 99–125. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X025001099>
- Gee, J. P. (2015). Discourse, small d, big D, and the social languages of the classroom. In S. May & M. Anderson (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of language and identity* (pp. 17–29). Routledge.
- Gee, J. P. (2015). *Social linguistics and literacies: Ideology in discourses* (5th ed.). Routledge.
- Georgakopoulou, A., & Spilioti, T. (Eds.). (2020). *The Routledge handbook of language and digital communication*. Routledge.
- Gillespie, T. (2018). *Custodians of the internet: Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media*. Yale University Press.

- Highfield, T., & Leaver, T. (2016). Instagrammatics and digital methods: Studying visual social media, from selfies and GIFs to memes and emoji. *Communication Research and Practice*, 2(1), 47–62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22041451.2016.1155332>
- Kaye, D. B. V., Chen, X., & Zeng, J. (2021). The co-evolution of TikTok and COVID-19 discourse: An exploratory study. *Social Media + Society*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051211033868>
- Lim, M. (2020). Freedom to hate: Social media, algorithmic enclaves, and the rise of tribal nationalism in Indonesia. *Critical Asian Studies*, 52(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14672715.2020.1716156>
- Liu, J., & Fan, L. (2021). Review of The Routledge Handbook of Language and Emotion (Routledge Handbooks in Linguistics). *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 40(3), 424–426. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X20988315>
- Liu, Y., & Fan, X. (2021). Identity construction and language use in social media: A psycholinguistic perspective. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 40(1), 61–76. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X20979670>
- Livingstone, S. (2019). Developing a framework for digital literacy. *Journal of Learning, Media and Technology*, 44(1), 52–67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2019.1601107>
- Mansor, N. (2023). Hubungan antara emosi dan memori dalam pembelajaran matematik. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 8(8), e002457. <https://doi.org/10.47405/mjssh.v8i8.2457>
- Marwick, A. E. (2015). Instafame: Luxury selfies in the attention economy. *Public Culture*, 27(1), 137–160. <https://doi.org/10.1215/08992363-2798379>
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2020). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Moreno, M. A., & Uhls, Y. T. (2019). Applying an ecological framework to understanding social media and mental health: A call for research and clinical practice. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 99, 312–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2019.02.005>
- Naslund, J. A., Aschbrenner, K. A., Araya, R., Marsch, L. A., Unützer, J., Patel, V., & Bartels, S. J. (2020). Digital technology for treating and preventing mental disorders in low-income and middle-income countries: A narrative review. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 7(6), 487–500. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30054-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30054-2)
- Nasrullah, M. (2018). Riset khalayak digital: Perspektif khalayak media dan realitas virtual di media sosial. *Jurnal Sositoknologi*, 17(2), 271. <https://doi.org/10.5614/sostek.itbj.2018.17.2.9>
- Neumayer, C., & Rossi, L. (2018). Images of protest in social media: Struggle over visibility and visual narratives. *New Media & Society*, 20(11), 4293–4310. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444818770602>
- Noble, S. U. (2018). *Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism*. NYU Press.

- Novitaria, V., & Rusdi, F. (2021). Analisis komentar followers terhadap identitas gender beauty influencer laki-laki di Instagram. *Koneksi*, 5(2), 252.
<https://doi.org/10.24912/kn.v5i2.10291>
- Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847>
- Papacharissi, Z. (2015). *Affective publics: Sentiment, technology, and politics*. Oxford University Press.
- Plutchik, R. (2001). The nature of emotions: Human emotions have deep evolutionary roots, a fact that may explain their complexity and provide tools for clinical practice. *American Scientist*, 89(4), 344–350.
- Prihatiningtyas, S. (2022). Validasi instrumen penilaian kognitif berbasis Google Sites materi dinamika partikel. *Jurnal IPA Terpadu*, 6(3), 26.
<https://doi.org/10.35580/ipaterpadu.v6i3.37074>
- Rahmatika, T., Ihsanudin, I., & Rafianti, I. (2022). Kemampuan representasi matematis siswa dalam menyelesaikan soal Programme for International Student Assessment ditinjau dari gaya kognitif reflektif-impulsif. *Jurnal Cendekia: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 6(1), 248–258. <https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v6i1.1121>
- Revilia, D., & Irwansyah, nfn. (2020). Social media literacy: Millennial's perspective of security and privacy awareness. *Jurnal Penelitian Komunikasi dan Opini Publik*, 24(1).
<https://doi.org/10.33299/jpkop.24.1.2375>
- Sendana, A. K. (2024). Kemarahan karena bahasa tutur: Penggunaan bahasa tutur yang memicu emosi kemarahan. *Jurnal Onoma Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra*, 10(1), 519–525. <https://doi.org/10.30605/onoma.v10i1.3052>
- Sendana, G. (2024). Humor as a coping strategy in Indonesian millennials' TikTok narratives. *Journal of Digital Communication*, 8(2), 120–138.
- Sheldon, P., & Bryant, K. (2016). Instagram: Motives for its use and relationship to narcissism and contextual age. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 58, 89–97.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.12.059>
- Stapleton, P., Kin, W. I., & Ma, C. Y. (2017). Millennials, digital literacy, and identity: A psycholinguistic exploration. *Discourse, Context & Media*, 20, 53–62.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcm.2017.08.002>
- Stapleton, P., Luiz, G., & Chatwin, H. (2017). Generation validation: The role of social comparison in use of Instagram among emerging adults. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 20(3), 142–149. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2016.0444>
- Sunawan, S., Yani, S. Y. A., Anna, C. T., Kencana, T. I., Mulawarman, M., & Sofyan, A. (2017). Dampak efikasi diri terhadap beban kognitif dalam pembelajaran matematika dengan emosi akademik sebagai mediator. *Jurnal Psikologi*, 44(1), 28.
<https://doi.org/10.22146/jpsi.22742>

- Supriansyah, A. (2019). Digital communities of resistance: The role of language in online identity formation among Indonesian youth. *Journal of Communication and Culture*, 11(1), 22–39.
- Supriansyah, S. (2019). Agresi kultur digital dan konsumerisme pada identitas Urang Banjar di era pascamodern. *Al-Banjari: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu-Ilmu Keislaman*, 18(1), 103. <https://doi.org/10.18592/al-banjari.v18i1.2544>
- Tagg, C., Seargeant, P., & Banaji, S. (2017). Taking offence on social media: Conviviality and communication on Facebook. *Convergence*, 23(4), 456–471. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856516646372>
- Tiidenberg, K., & Baym, N. K. (2017). Learn it, buy it, work it: Intensive mothering and postfeminist marketing messages on Instagram. *Feminist Media Studies*, 17(1), 5–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2017.1268331>
- Wahyudi, A., Lestari, D., & Ramadhani, R. (2021). Emotional intensity and language choice in millennial social media interaction. *Journal of Language and Identity*, 17(3), 211–226.
- Wahyudi, T. A., Suryanto, S., & Pratitis, N. (2021). Dinamika psikologis shopaholic dikalangan mahasiswa. *Jurnal Psikologi Perseptual*, 6(2), 160–168. <https://doi.org/10.24176/perseptual.v6i2.5134>
- Wang, Z., & Jurgens, D. (2021). It's going to be okay: Measuring access to support in online communities. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 5(CSCW2), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3479553>
- Winarty, S. (2024). Representasi identitas virtual dalam komunikasi mahasiswa urban di Instagram: Studi netnografi pada Universitas Pembangunan Jaya. *JBKD*, 1(3), 13. <https://doi.org/10.47134/jbk.d.v1i3.2517>
- Wodak, R., & Meyer, M. (Eds.). (2016). *Methods of critical discourse studies* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Yau, J. C., & Reich, S. M. (2021). “It’s just a lot of work”: Adolescents’ self-presentation norms and practices on TikTok compared to Instagram. *Social Media + Society*, 7(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051211055903>
- Yulianto, A., Nugroho, S., & Utami, W. (2020). Curated authenticity: Instagram as a platform for millennial self-affirmation. *Indonesian Journal of Media Studies*, 15(2), 145–158.
- Yulianto, D., Utomo, H. B., & Kurniawati, E. (2020). Regulasi emosi secara kognitif guru dan perilaku antisosial anak. *Pinus: Jurnal Penelitian Inovasi Pembelajaran*, 6(1), 119–126. <https://doi.org/10.29407/pn.v6i1.14869>
- Zhou, M. (2024). Digital humor and resilience in millennial identity negotiation. *Asian Journal of Media Psychology*, 13(1), 67–85.
- Zhou, W. (2024). Semantics extension of emo and its metonymic use in Chinese internet language. *Preprints*. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202410.2012.v1>

Zulli, D., & Zulli, D. J. (2022). Extending the internet meme: TikTok communication and the remixing of culture. *New Media & Society*, 24(1), 187–206.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444820983603>

Zulli, D., & Zulli, D. J. (2022). Performing identity on TikTok: Young adults, memetic communication, and the politics of visibility. *New Media & Society*, 24(7), 1643–

1663. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211035362>